

Accelerating the antibody development by an integrated platform with AI molecular assessment and site-specific integration (SSI) cell line development Yongkang Long, Longzhi Lin, Ning Zhang, Kane Qi and Michael Chen

Contact: kane@greatbay-bio.com

Introduction

• The gap between PCC (preclinical candidate compounds) and CMC: **Druggability**

✓ Unknown molecular defects: aggregation, unstable, etc.

✓ Different product activity from transient platform and stable cell line

◆ Solutions

✓ **Druggability** assessment using AI

✓ **Site-specific integration** platform for fast cell line development

Materials and Methods

Results and Discussion

1. The druggability assessment of the candidates using AImodeling platform

Table 1 The AI analyzed attributes related to druggability and the assessment.

Attributes Molecular	Pos-charge Patch (Range 0~1.2)	Neg-charge Patch (Range 0~0.8)	Charge distribution (Range -4~12)	PI	Hydrophobic Patch (Range 0~12)	Humanize score (Range 0.5~1)	Stability (Range 56~60)	Assessment Result
A001	0.12	1.19 ↑↑	-6↓	9.02	2.4	0.72	54.1 ↓↓	·Aggregation ·Unstable

• AI modeling and analyzing for the charge distribution of the given sequence



Fig.1 The structure of the antibody was generated using AI. The druggability related attributes, including humanize score, hydrophobic patch, charge patch, etc. were rated (Table 1).

- \checkmark The distribution of hydrophobic (Red) and hydrophilic (Blue) domains were marked in the structure (Fig.1).
- \checkmark The structures were modelled and analyzed by in-house algorithm.
- \checkmark Free trial for the assessment tool:

https://www.greatbay-bio.com/services.html#dw1

A002	0.12	0.89 ↑	4	6.97	4.5	0.03 ↓↓	51.7↓↓	 Aggregation Unstable Immunogenicity
A003	0.27	0.11	2	8.16	5.1	0.55	58.3	·Pass
A004	0.12	1.19 ↑↑	-6↓	8.12	3.4	0.72	54.1 ↓↓	•Aggregation • Unstable

2. Vector constructed of the selected: different designs



Fig.4 The different arrangement of the 3 chains and their transient expression titers.

> Three different chains of the BsAb were arranged in different manner

• Cell line development using site-specific integration platform



Fig.2 The transfection of the GOI into the high productivity site in CHO-K1 cell. The AI selected high productivity site was marked by GFP.

- \checkmark The high productivity site was screened and marked with GFP gene.
- \checkmark Electrophoresis was applied and the gene of interest (GOI) was integrated by a homologues recombinant manner (Fig.2).
- \checkmark The GFP gene was substituted by GOI, and the non-fluoresces cell was selected as transformant.
- Cell line and products
 - ✓ CHO-K1
 - ✓ Molecular: 3 chains bispecific antibody
 - ✓ Vector: single vector with four ORFs
- ◆ Transfection and culture media
- ✓ Electrophoresis



- > One vector with separated 4 ORFs were constructed and transfected
- > **Different titers** were observed in different vector designs
- > Top 3 vectors were further used for stable cell line development

3. Site- specific integration cell line development in 5 weeks

Table.2 The 14-day fed-batch results of the monoclonal cell for the BsAb production.

Design	mRNA expression ratio: C1:C2:C3	14 days Fed batch titer (g/L)	Correct paring (%)
1	2:3:3	5.9	87.95
2	3:2:2	4.8	40.7
3	3:2:4	4.3	78.0

- **Precise adjustment** of the expression rate of the individual chains improved the CLD performance
- > 6 g/L of tier of the BsAb was obtained in 14 days fed-batch
- Correct paring rate >87%

- ✓ Media: Advance+7a7b
- Cell line development in 5 weeks
 - \checkmark Three different chains were constructed into the single vector
 - \checkmark Transient expression in CHO was used to select the best design (Fig.4)



Fig.3 The 5-week cell line development process using the site-specific integration platform. The monoclonal cells were directly selected from the bulk pool using VIPS or FACS.

Conclusions

- \succ The stable cell line for a complex BsAb was successfully developed by integration of the *in silico* druggability assessment, vector design and sitespecific cell line platforms
- \triangleright Faster and better result was obtained by the application of AI technology in bioprocessing



[1] Shin S W, Lee J S. CHO Cell Line Development and Engineering via Site-specific Integration: Challenges and Opportunities[J]. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, 2020, 25(5).